

Exhibit B

Matter 101 - Bankruptcy Matters

This matter covers preparation of monthly and interim fee applications, as well as the Firm's efforts to obtain Court cost authorization for the Firm to serve as special environmental counsel to Debtor. Foley Hoag's ordinary practice is to exercise billing discretion prior to submitting invoices, and it has done so with respect to each of the invoices it submitted during the Interim Period. To date, none of the interested parties objected to payment on account of any portion of any of those invoices, although they were entitled to do so.

The Firm's application-preparation fee is reasonable in light of the nature and magnitude of the effort involved. For each of those matters, as required by the Local Rules, the Firm submitted detailed billing summaries, and its attorneys prepared narrative descriptions of the work performed.

Name of Professional Person	Position	Department	Hourly Billing Rate	Total Hours Billed	Total Compensation
Seth D. Jaffe	Partner	Environmental	\$671.00	0.8	\$ 536.80
TOTAL				0.8	\$ 536.80

Matter 102 - Blackburn and Union Privileges Superfund Site, Walpole, Mass

During this Thirty-Fifth Application Period, the Firm assisted the Debtor in matters related to the federal Superfund site known as the Blackburn and Union Privileges Superfund Site, in Walpole, Massachusetts. The site has been in industrial use for several hundred years. From approximately 1915 to 1935, it was operated by Multibestos Company, which manufactured products containing asbestos. The stock of Multibestos was purchased by Dewey & Almy in or about 1930. The Debtor purchased the stock of Dewey & Almy in 1954.

The Debtor and other potentially responsible parties have been working with EPA and MassDEP since 1988. In 1992 and 1993, the Debtor performed a cleanup at the Site with respect to asbestos, though other contamination remained. The Site was added to the federal National Priorities List in 1994. The Debtor received a Notice of Potential Liability from EPA in 1998 and a "Special Notice of Responsibility" in 1999. Also in 1999, the Debtor entered into an administrative order with EPA and the Kendall Company, another potentially responsible party. In the order, the Debtor and Kendall each agreed to pay 50% of the costs for performance of the Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study ("RI/FS") for the Site. After the Debtor filed for reorganization, the Kendall Company continued the RI/FS work without financial participation from the Debtor.

In 2006, EPA announced that it was revisiting the potential risks posed by the presence of asbestos at the Site, including areas with concentrations of asbestos greater than 0.25%, even though the original cleanup was based on a concentration of 1%. EPA has recently indicated that there may be several additional areas of the Site that require remediation for asbestos.

On September 30, 2008, EPA issued the Record of Decision (ROD) for the Site, which identifies the elements of the cleanup plan EPA has selected. The remedy contains several different components, the estimated cost of which in the ROD is approximately \$13,000,000, and also identifies certain other issues which may require yet additional work. After issuing the ROD, EPA then issued what is known as "special notice" letters pursuant to section 122 of CERCLA, triggering a period of negotiations with EPA concerning implementation of the remedy selected in the ROD. In December 2008, Debtor and Kendall reached a further agreement, ratified by this Court, pursuant to which Debtor and Kendall each agreed to pay 50% of costs incurred in implementing the remedy selected by EPA in the ROD.

The Debtor, Kendall, and the Shaffers, who are the owners of the Site, reached agreement with EPA on settlement to pay certain of EPA's past costs and to implement the remedy selected in the ROD. The consent decree was lodged with the Court on July 28, 2010 and entered by the Court on December 21, 2010.

Separately, the Debtor, Kendall, and the Shaffers negotiated a settlement of claims by the United States and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts alleging damage to natural resources associated with the Site. That settlement was implemented through a consent decree which was entered by the District Court for the District of Massachusetts on December 12, 2011.

During this period, we assisted the Debtor with respect to implementation of the terms of the consent decree for the cleanup of the Site. This work included: (1) assistance regarding a potential sale of one lot comprising part of the Site in order to raise funds to assist in the cleanup; (2) drafting an access agreement to be used in connection with consulting firms potentially providing services to the cleanup; and (3) negotiations with EPA and the Town of Walpole regarding potential revisions to the cleanup plan for the site to accommodate the Town's redevelopment plans.

This work is complex and requires an in-depth knowledge of the Superfund law. The Firm's efforts on these matters have benefited and will benefit the Debtor's bankruptcy estate, because the legal analysis provided is critical to cost-effective implementation of the consent decree and resolving the natural resource damage claims.

Name of Professional Person	Position	Department	Hourly Billing Rate	Total Hours Billed	Total Compensation
Seth D. Jaffe	Partner	Environmental	\$671.00	2.8	\$ 1,878.80
TOTAL				2.8	\$ 1,878.80

Matter 103 – Wells G&H Superfund Site

During this Thirty-Fifth Application Period, the Firm assisted the Debtor in matters related to response actions that may be required by EPA in connection with the Wells G&H Superfund Site, in Woburn, Massachusetts. The Debtor owns certain property located at Washington Street in Woburn, Massachusetts. The property is part of the Wells G&H Superfund Site and the Debtor previously entered into a consent decree with EPA pursuant to which the

Debtor is performing certain response actions. By letter dated May 14, 2009, EPA provided comments to the Debtor concerning certain reports submitted to EPA by the Debtor. That letter asked the Debtor to coordinate further investigation with the Operable Unit 2 Central Area Aquifer RI/FS being performed with respect to the Site and further requested certain additional investigation with respect to the Debtor property.

During this period, the Firm advised the Debtor concerning several issues related to implementation of the consent decree, including preparation of the annual groundwater monitoring report. The Firm primarily assisted the Debtor in negotiations with other PRPs concerning the allocation of response costs incurred by the PRPs in complying with the Consent Decree requirements concerning the Central Area. These negotiations included preparation for and conduct of a formal mediation with UniFirst Corporation, another PRP, during this period. The firm also advised the Debtor in environmental matters related to a potential sale of the Debtor's property.

This work is complex and requires an in-depth knowledge of the history of the Debtor's response actions in connection with the Site, the terms of the existing Consent Decree, negotiations with UniFirst Corporation, and the relevant provisions of federal and state Superfund laws. The Firm's efforts on these matters have benefited and will benefit the Debtor's bankruptcy estate, because the legal analysis provided is critical to cost-effective compliance with the Debtor's obligations under the federal Superfund law and the Consent Decree.

Name of Professional Person	Position	Department	Hourly Billing Rate	Total Hours Billed	Total Compensation
Seth D. Jaffe	Partner	Environmental	\$671.00	39.7	\$ 26,638.70
Jonathan E. Book	Counsel	Real Estate	\$560.00	8.1	\$ 4,536.00
Amy E. Boyd	Associate	Environmental	\$523.00	40.5	\$ 21,181.50
LESS DISCOUNT					\$ -5,000.00
TOTAL				88.33	\$ 47,356.20